

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/920,137 08/01/2001		George Heavner	CEN0250	5801		
27777 759	90 08/18/2003					
AUDLEY A. CIAMPORCERO JR.			EXAMINER			
JOHNSON & JOHNSON ONE JOHNSON & JOHNSON PLAZA			SEHARASEYON,	SEHARASEYON, JEGATHEESAN		
NEW BRUNSW	WICK, NJ 08933-7003		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1647	M		
			DATE MAILED: 08/18/2003	٠ (

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No	. •	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/920,137		HEAVNER ET AL.			
		Examiner	- .	Art Unit			
	j		aharaaayan	1647			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Jegatheesan Seears on the cover	-	'-''	5		
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 A	pril 2003 .					
2a)□	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	s action is non-f	inal.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowa			osecution as to the me	rits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-101 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)□	Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) <u>1-101</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election require	ment.				
Application	•						
-	he specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10)∐ 1	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept		-				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
				ved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
2) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4)		(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 1647

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
- Claims 1–3, 9-11, 16, 21-23, 29-31, 36, 41-43, 49-51, 56, 61-63, 69-71,
 76, 81-83, 91 and 96 are drawn to an anti- TNF antibody, classified in class 530, subclass 387.1.
- II. Claims 4-8, 19, 20, 24-27, 39, 40, 44-48, 59-60, 64-68, 79,80, 84-88, 99 and 100 are drawn to nucleic acid encoding anti-TNF antibody, vectors, cells and methods of producing a recombinant anti-TNF antibody, classified in class 435, subclass 69.1.
- III. Claims 12-15, 32-35,52-55, 72-75 and 92-95 in part are drawn to a method of diagnosis, classified in class 435, subclass 7.4.
- IV. Claims 12-15, 32-35,52-55, 72-75 and 92-95 in part drawn to a method of treatment with an antibody, classified in class 436, subclass 547.
- V. Claims 17, 18, 37, 38, 57, 58, 77, 78, 97 and 98 are drawn to an article of manufacture, classified in class 435, subclass 975.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other, for the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product made and process of making. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process

Art Unit: 1647

(MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the antibody can be prepared by materially different process, such as by immunizing a mammal such as a rabbit or mice.

Inventions I and (III-V) are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product of invention I can be used in screening phage libraries.

Inventions V and (III-IV) are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product of invention V can be used in screening phage libraries.

Inventions II and (III- V) are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are not disclosed as capable of use together.

Inventions II and VI are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of

Art Unit: 1647

operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are not disclosed as capable of use together.

Inventions III and IV are independent and distinct, each from the other, because the methods are practiced with materially different process steps for materially different purposes and each method requires a non-coextensive search because of different starting materials, process steps and goals.

In addition, the searches are not coextensive for these inventions. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 2. The claims of Groups I -II are drawn to multiple nucleic acid and polypeptide sequences. Each of the different sequences are independent and distinct because no common structural or functional properties are shared. Accordingly, these sequences are each subject to restriction under 35 U.S.C. § 121. Regardless of the Group elected, Applicant is additionally required to elect a single nucleic acid sequence, which if determined to be patentable, would also be patentably distinct from the other nucleic acid sequences. This requirement is made under 1192 O.G.68 Notice (November 19, 1996), as examination of more than one sequence in one application would result in an undue burden on the PTO.
- 3. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Art Unit: 1647

4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jegatheesan Seharaseyon whose telephone number is 703-305-1112. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 8:30-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Kunz can be reached on 703-308-4623. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-0294 for regular communications and 703-308-4227 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

JS August 11, 2003

> LORRAINE SPECTOR PRIMARY EXAMINER